# Part A: Approaching the text

Exercise 1: This text is made up of 6 paragraphs.	match each of the	sub-headings	given below	with
its corresponding paragraph.				

	Fielding opened the central tradition of English fiction	par
b)	Minor novelists may be important for their historical position	par
c)	The great names of English fiction	par
d)	One needs to make decisive judgments in criticism	par
e)	A definition of 'Tradition'	par
f)	It is necessary to distinguish between good and great writers	par

**Exercise 2:** Match each connective given below with the function it performs in the text. Fill in the corresponding blank with either

- **A** (for ADDITIVE)
- **B** (for CONCESSIVE)
- **C** (for CONTRASTIVE)

# (N.B.: do not write more than ONE LETTER in each blank. The reference line is given within brackets.)

1.	in spite of (l. 6)	
2.	However (l. 12)	
3.	Then (1. 28)	
4.	Of course (1. 45)	
5.	In fact (1, 52)	

# Part B: Intensive reading

**Exercise 3:** Read the text carefully and circle the appropriate answer:

- 1. What is the overall function of the text?
  - a) To illustrate the differences between Victorian and Modern fiction.
  - b) To explain how a novel should be written.
  - c) To identify a central tradition in the English novel.
  - d) To explain about Jane Austen's centrality.
- 2. Who is this text for?
  - a) fellow critics
  - b) university students or academics
  - c) average readers
  - d) secondary school students

M

3. Judging from what the book?	e author says, which au	thor would you expect NOT to be treated in his
a) Jane Austen		
b) George Eliot		
c) Henry James		
d) Joseph Conrad		
Exercise 4: Making refe FALSE (F), or INCOMF follow the order in whice	PLETE (I) paraphrases	e whether the statements below are TRUE ( <b>T</b> ), of the information conveyed. ( <b>N.B.:</b> the statements esented in the text)
1. Trollope is a major no 2. 'The English novel' i English	ovelist s just what it happens to	be each time someone writes a new novel in
3. Fielding is only impo	rtant because he turned	the language of journalism into the language of
4. The great novelists ar	e those that make it into	o the literary histories
5. The author does not l	ike the Romantic poets	<u> </u>
6. The rest of the book v		fiction
Exercise 5: find words/ them in the blanks also word is given in bracke	indicating their respect	which match the synonyms given below, and write ive line number. The paragraph containing each
1. relatively	(par. 1)	
2. useful	(par. 2)	
3. distinctions	(par. 2)	
4. radio	(par. 2)	
5. state	(par. 3)	
6. most important	(par. 3)	

Part C: writing

(par. 4)

(par. 4)

(par. 5)

(par. 5) (par. 6)

(par. 6)

7. lately

10. allow

8. completely 9. assign to

11. inaugurating12 statements

Exercise 6: Choose ONE of the two topics below and write a paragraph of approx. 100 words.

- 1. Describe your own idea of tradition in English literature, or explain why you do not think the term is useful.
- 2. Argue for or against inclusion of another writer in the canon of English literature.



### **ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEST**

### The Great Tradition

The great English novelists are Jane Austen, George Eliot, Henry James and Joseph Conrad – to stop for the moment at that comparatively safe point in history. Since Jane Austen, for special reasons, needs to be studied at considerable length, I confine myself in this book to the last three. Critics have found me narrow, and I have no doubt that my opening proposition, whatever I may say to explain and justify it, will be adduced in reinforcement of their strictures. It passes as fact (in spite of the printed evidence) that I pronounce Milton negligible, dismiss 'the Romantics', and hold that, since Donne, there is no poet we need bother about except Hopkins and Eliot. The view, I suppose, will be as confidently attributed to me that, except Jane Austen, George Eliot, James and Conrad, there are no novelists in English worth reading.

The only way to escape misrepresentation is never to commit oneself to any critical judgment that makes an impact - that is, never to say anything. I still, however, think that the best way to promote profitable discussion is to be as clear as possible with oneself about what one sees and judges, to try and establish the essential discriminations in the given field of interest, and to state them as clearly as one can (for disagreement, if necessary). And it seems to me that in the field of fiction some challenging discriminations are very much called for; the field is so large and offers such insidious temptations to complacent confusions of judgment and to critical indolence. It is of the field of fiction belonging to Literature that I am thinking, and I am thinking in particular of the present vogue of the Victorian age. Trollope, Charlotte Yonge, Mrs. Gaskell, Wilkie Collins, Charles Reade, Charles and Henry Kingsley, Marryat, Shorthouse - one after another the minor novelists of that period are being commended to our attention, written up and publicized by broadcast, and there is a marked tendency to suggest that they not only have various kinds of interest to offer but that they are living classics (Are not they all in the literary histories?) There are Jane Austen, Mrs. Gaskell, Scott, 'the Brontës', Dickens, Thackeray, George Eliot, Trollope and so on, all, one gathers, classical novelists.

It is important to insist, then, that there are important distinctions to be made, and that far from all of the names in the literary histories really belong to the realm of significant creative achievement. And as a recall to a due sense of differences it is well to start by distinguishing the few really great – the major novelists who count in the same way as the major poets, in the sense that they not only change the possibilities of the art for practitioners and readers, but that they are significant in terms of the human awareness they promote; awareness of the possibilities of life.

To insist on the pre-eminent few in this way is not to be indifferent to tradition; on the contrary, it is the way towards understanding what tradition is. 'Tradition', of course is a term with many forces – and often very little at all. There is a habit nowadays of suggesting that there is a tradition of 'the English novel', and that all that can be said of the tradition (that being its peculiarity) is that 'the English novel' can be anything you like. To distinguish the major novelists in the spirit proposed is to form a more useful idea of tradition (and to recognize that the conventionally established view of the past of English fiction needs to be drastically revised). It is in terms of the major novelists, those significant in the way suggested, that tradition, in any serious sense, has its significance.

To be important historically is not, of course, to be necessarily one of the significant few. Fielding deserves the place of importance given him in the literary histories, but he hasn't the sort of classical distinction we are also invited to credit him

10

5

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

M

with. He is important not because he leads to Mr. J.B. Priestley but because he leads to Jane Austen, to appreciate whose distinction is to feel that life isn't long enough to permit of one's giving much time to Fielding or any to Mr. Priestley.

Fielding made Jane Austen possible by opening the central tradition of English fiction. In fact, to say that the English novel began with him is as reasonable as such propositions ever are. He completed the work begun by *The Tatler* and *The Spectator*, in the pages of which we see the drama turning into the novel – that this development should occur by way of journalism being in the natural course of things.

[F.R. Leavis, The Great Tradition, 1948]

M

50

55